**Public Response to Announced EDDC Local Plan Development Sites**



Proposed Sites: Lympstone has got to accept some housing development. The sites marked green on the maps are EDDC preferred sites, orange sites are their second preferred options.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Site name**  **and number of Houses proposed by EDDC** | **Location description** | **Comment**  **(BUAB = Built-up Area Boundary)** | **Support**  **Yes / No** | **Reasons for your decision**  **(Enter Key numbers – see below)** |
| **GH/ED/72**  **131 houses** | Fields to north Meeting Lane and west of Nutwell Road | Green Wedge. Inside proposed new BUAB. If approved, and there is development in this site, Woodbury PC have voted CIL money to be passed to Lympstone Parish  Flooding a consideration  Concern for safe access onto A376 towards Exmouth |  |  |
| **GH/ED/73**  **46 houses** | Fields between Meeting Lane and Strawberry Hill | Green Wedge, next to BUAB  Flooding a consideration  Concern for safe access onto A376 towards Exmouth |  |  |
| **GH/ED/75**  **6 houses** | Rear of Grange Close | Next to BUAB  Close to existing road  Where will access be from?  Flooding possibility |  |  |
| **Lympstone 01**  **14 houses** | Rear of 22 Underhill Crescent | Close to village amenities  Green Wedge |  |  |
| **Lympstone 07**  **100 houses** | Opposite Courtlands | Second choice at the moment but if plan and development approved would be inside new Exmouth BUAB  Green Wedge  Access only suitable if from A376  Land already subject to Public Enquiry finding against Development |  |  |
| **Lympstone 08**  **14 houses** | Land off Summer Lane | Green Wedge Land  Second choice site at the moment, but if plan and development approved, would be inside new Exmouth BUAB  Access a consideration |  |  |
| **Lympstone 09**  **54 houses** | Junction of Estuary View Hulham Road | Green Wedge  If plan and development approved, would be inside new Exmouth BUAB  New development would be isolated from existing developments and amenities |  |  |
| **Lympstone 10A**  **75 houses** | Upper Hulham Road | Green Wedge  If plan and development approved, would be inside new Exmouth BUAB  New development would be isolated from existing developments and amenities |  |  |
| **Lympstone 14**  **59 houses** | Land behind Kings Garden Centre | Green Wedge  If plan and development approved would be inside new Exmouth BUAB |  |  |

Residents’ Priorities: Keys to be used in the table above reflecting your main considerations when deciding on the yes/no preference.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Planning Consideration** | **Key** | **Planning Consideration** | **Key** |
| Maintaining Lympstone as a Village – No Coalescence | 1 | Site fits in with plan to provide green corridors, cycleways, SANGS areas | 8 |
| Maintaining Green Wedges | 2 | Maintain Lympstone Exmouth Gap | 9 |
| A376 already at capacity | 3 | Maintain Green Wedges | 10 |
| Access to Proposed sites | 4 | Site is close to amenities | 11 |
| Possible flood risk of the site | 5 | Site has potential for provision of Sports Amenities | 12 |
| Land slopes | 6 | Lympstone provided more than the required number in the last round of development. This has not been taken into account for the present plan. | 13 |
| Required infrastructure | 7 | Wildlife | 14 |

Would you accept some development at GH/ED/72 and GH/ED/73 if planning conditions guaranteed provision of sports facilities and the dangerous junction at Meeting Lane with A376 was addressed: **YES / NO**: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Do you agree that the proposed Local Plan policies do not properly address the A376 already being at capacity and the required infrastructure for this scale of development: **YES/NO:** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Are you content that the planning built-up area boundaries indicated in black on the map do not reflect the parish boundaries, meaning there would be a question over the allocation of related funds: **YES/NO:** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_